



PRINT ISSN: 2519-9781

ONLINE ISSN: 2710-1320

The Role of Federalism in Enhancing Political Trust and Governance in Somalia

Mr. Mohamed Hish Omar

Lecturer, Faculty of political science and public administration,
Mogadishu university

Email: Xiish2020@gmail.com

DOI: 10.70457/MUJ00104

Abstract

This study examines The Role of Federalism in Enhancing Political Trust and Governance in Somalia, focusing on the period from its adoption to the present. Federalism, characterized by the division of power between national and local governments, presents both opportunities and challenges in a context marked by historical clan rivalries and centralization. The research highlights the importance of political trust as a foundation for effective governance and citizen engagement. Through a qualitative analysis of existing literature, the study identifies key factors that influence trust levels, including inclusive governance practices, the role of international actors, and the complexities of clan

politics. The findings reveal that while federalism can enhance local governance and empower communities, it also risks fragmentation and competition among regional authorities. The study underscores the necessity of clear legal frameworks and collaborative governance approaches to foster cooperation and mitigate tensions.

Keywords: Federalism, Political Trust, Governance, Somalia

Introduction

Federalism is a system of governance in which power is divided between a central authority and constituent political units, such as states or provinces, allowing for the coexistence of a national government and local governments, each with distinct powers and responsibilities (Anderson, 2017). Federalism is characterized by the distribution of powers between different levels of government, as outlined in a constitution, which can protect against tyranny by preventing the concentration of power (Hueglin & Fenna, 2021). Federalism refers to a political system that combines elements of both confederation and unitary government, where sovereignty is constitutionally divided between different levels of government, each acting directly on the people (Burgess, 2019). Federalism can be seen as a response to the need for unity while respecting diversity, allowing different regions to maintain their unique cultures and policies while being part of a larger political entity (Erk, 2017). Federalism can be classified into various types, such as dual federalism (where state and national governments are distinct entities) and cooperative federalism (where the two levels of government work together (Ginsburg, & Eliza, 2015).

Federalism has been embraced by various countries as a means to accommodate diverse populations and enhance governance (Akkari A. , 2019). It serves as a framework for managing complex social dynamics and provides local authorities with the autonomy necessary to address the unique needs of their communities while maintaining a unified national governance structure. In Somalia, the adoption of a federal system aimed to provide a solution to long-standing conflicts rooted in clan rivalries and centralization (Elmi & Barise, 2020). Historically, the concentration of power in a central authority has exacerbated tensions among clans and

hindered effective governance, contributing to the civil conflict that has plagued the country for decades (Dahir, 2018). Federalism offers a promising approach for Somalia to balance power across regions, reduce clan-based disputes, and foster cooperative governance at both local and national levels.

The efficacy of federalism in Somalia can be assessed by examining its impact on political trust and cooperation between central and regional states. Trust in government institutions is crucial for effective governance and citizen engagement (Fukuyama F. , 2018). In a federal system, political trust is not merely an abstract concept; it translates into citizens' willingness to participate in governance processes and adhere to laws and policies. High levels of trust can enhance cooperation among various levels of government, facilitating smoother policy implementation and improving service delivery (Ginsburg, 2015). Conversely, low trust can lead to fragmentation, as regional authorities may prioritize their interests over collective national goals, thereby undermining the federal structure itself (Khalif, 2021).

The significance of this study lies in its potential to inform policymakers about the strengths and weaknesses of federalism in the Somali context. Understanding the interplay between federalism, political trust, and cooperation is vital for crafting policies that promote stability and development (Choudhry, 2013). For instance, inclusive governance practices that consider the diverse interests of various clans are crucial for enhancing political legitimacy and trust (Witteck, & Saeed, 2017). By analyzing existing literature and conducting qualitative research, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the efficacy of federalism in Somalia. These insights can guide decision-makers in designing federal structures that promote collaboration and address social divisions effectively.

Furthermore, the study explores the role of international actors in supporting Somalia's federal transition. External assistance can be instrumental in shaping governance structures and practices, thereby influencing the overall effectiveness of federalism (Menkhaus A. t., 2014). The involvement of international organizations and donor countries may help bolster institutional capacity, ensuring that federalism functions effectively and contributes to political trust and cooperation (Bryden, & Bahra, 2019). International actors, such as the United Nations, the African Union, and the European Union, have been actively involved in Somalia's governance reforms, promoting stability and encouraging federal principles to support long-term peace (Pham, 2013).

However, the federal model is not without its challenges. The competition among regional governments often leads to fragmentation, resulting in conflicting interests that can undermine cooperation (Bryden, 2013). In the Somali context, this fragmentation is further complicated by clan-based politics, which can lead to tensions between regions and create barriers to collaboration (Hassan, 2020). Moreover, the absence of a robust legal framework to define the powers and responsibilities of central and regional governments can exacerbate these tensions, making it difficult to establish a cohesive governance structure (Hagmann, & Hoehne, 2009). Without clear delineation, regional governments may act autonomously, undermining national unity and hindering coordinated governance efforts (Bradbury & Healy, 2010). This paper explores the ways in which federalism can either bolster or hinder political trust and cooperation in Somalia. Through an examination of the dynamics of power-sharing arrangements, the influence of clan politics, and the role of local governance, we can gain insights into the practical implications of federalism in this context. For example, studies have shown that decentralized governance structures can empower local communities,

fostering a sense of ownership and accountability (Ali, & Mumin, 2021). This empowerment is particularly important in a post-conflict society, where rebuilding trust in government institutions is paramount.

Therefore, this study aims to contribute to the discourse on federalism in Somalia by examining the implications of decentralized governance for political trust and cooperation. It seeks to answer critical questions about how federalism can be effectively implemented in a context marked by deep-rooted conflicts and diverse interests. Through this exploration, the paper aspires to offer valuable insights that can aid in the development of more effective governance strategies that promote peace, stability, and social cohesion in Somalia.

Literature Review

The literature on federalism and its impact on political trust highlights several key themes that are crucial for understanding the dynamics of governance in decentralized contexts. One major aspect is the relationship between decentralization and citizen engagement. Federalism can enhance citizen participation in governance, thereby fostering trust in government institutions (Smith, 2015). When local governments are empowered to address community needs, citizens are more likely to perceive these institutions as legitimate and responsive (Faguet J. P., 2014). Research indicates that participatory governance mechanisms, such as local councils and community forums, play a significant role in building political trust by providing citizens with opportunities to voice their concerns and influence decision-making (Becker S. O., 2018). Furthermore, studies suggest that inclusive and transparent decision-making processes at local levels increase trust in both regional and national institutions (Dahl, 2018). In post-conflict societies, federalism and decentralized governance are intended to foster

stability by mitigating centralization-driven grievances and enhancing legitimacy (Linder, 2020).

Decentralization and Citizen Engagement

Decentralization serves as a mechanism to bridge the gap between the government and its citizens, enhancing accountability and responsiveness (Bardhan, & Mookherjee, 2016). In a federal system, local authorities are often better positioned to address the specific needs of their communities, leading to improved service delivery and greater citizen satisfaction (Faguet, 2014). For instance, studies have shown that when local governments are granted fiscal autonomy, they can tailor their services to meet the needs of their constituents better, thereby increasing trust in those institutions (Smoke, 2015). Moreover, fiscal decentralization has been shown to empower local governments in ways that foster participatory governance and accountability, particularly in diverse or fragmented societies (Wunsch, 2014). Additionally, decentralization can reduce the administrative burden on central governments, allowing them to focus on broader policy initiatives while enhancing citizen engagement at the local level (Martinez, & McNab, 2003).

However, while federalism can empower local authorities and enhance citizen engagement, it can also lead to challenges in governance. The fragmentation of authority among regional states can result in competition rather than cooperation (Rodden J. , 2019). This competition often undermines political trust as citizens struggle to identify which authority is responsible for service delivery and law enforcement (Akkari, 2019). In contexts where multiple layers of government exist, overlapping responsibilities can create confusion and frustration among citizens, detracting from their overall trust in the system (Connerley, & Smoke, 2010). Additionally, weak coordination mechanisms between

central and regional governments can exacerbate these challenges, leading to inefficiencies and duplicated efforts that further erode citizen confidence in the federal structure (Rodden, 2019).

Political Legitimacy and Trust

The concept of political legitimacy is central to understanding the efficacy of federalism in Somalia. Legitimacy refers to the general belief that a government has the right to govern (Levi, & Tyler, 2009). In a federal system, the legitimacy of both central and regional authorities is crucial for fostering cooperation and maintaining social cohesion (Osman, 2019). Research indicates that when citizens perceive their governments as legitimate, they are more likely to engage in the political process and support government initiatives (Tyler T. R., 2006). This perception of legitimacy can be particularly vital in post-conflict settings, where citizen trust is fragile and the need for social unity is high (Brinkerhoff, & Wetterberg, & Dunn, 2008).

However, if regional governments prioritize clan interests over broader national objectives, political trust may erode. The influence of clan politics on governance in Somalia complicates the dynamics of federalism. In many cases, regional leaders may focus on advancing their clan's interests, leading to the marginalization of other groups and creating a sense of exclusion among citizens (Abdi, 2017). This prioritization can exacerbate existing tensions between clans, undermining cooperative governance and fueling conflicts. Furthermore, when governance is perceived as serving only particular clan interests, it can contribute to a broader perception of illegitimacy, making it difficult to build trust in government institutions (Akkari A. , 2019). The challenge is to find a balance where regional governments can recognize

and address clan identities while promoting inclusive governance that benefits all citizens (Lindley, 2011).

Institutional Frameworks and Federalism

Previous studies have emphasized the importance of institutional frameworks in shaping the outcomes of federalism (Bahl, 2008). A clear legal framework that delineates the powers and responsibilities of central and regional authorities is essential for promoting cooperation and trust (Riker, 1964). When citizens understand the roles and functions of various levels of government, they are better equipped to hold their leaders accountable, fostering a sense of trust in the political system (Schedler, 1999). Without such clarity, regional governments may engage in practices that undermine national unity and stability (Hesse, & Rausch, 2003). The absence of a well-defined legal framework can lead to power struggles and conflicts over jurisdiction, further eroding citizens' trust in government institutions (López, & Ramirez, 2015). In the Somali context, where the rule of law remains fragile, the establishment of a robust institutional framework is paramount for ensuring the legitimacy of both central and regional authorities (Cornelissen, & Le Roux, 2017).

The Role of International Support

The role of international actors in supporting effective federalism in Somalia cannot be overlooked. External assistance can facilitate the development of institutional frameworks that promote transparency and accountability, thereby bolstering political trust (Call, 2008). International organizations and donor countries can provide technical assistance, resources, and training to local governments, equipping them with the skills needed to effectively engage their citizens and manage local affairs (Golooba, 2013). This support is particularly vital in post-

conflict settings, where local governments may lack the capacity and resources to effectively govern (Fukuyama, 2013). Furthermore, international actors can play a crucial role in fostering dialogue among various political stakeholders, helping to mediate conflicts and promote inclusive governance practices (According to Golooba, 2013). Such engagement can enhance the legitimacy of both central and regional governments, ultimately contributing to the stability and sustainability of the federal system in Somalia (Zartman, 1995).

Political Fragmentation and its Implications

The fragmentation of political authority in a federal system can also lead to challenges in national identity and social cohesion. In Somalia, regional states often operate with significant autonomy, which can foster a sense of local identity that undermines the broader national narrative (Ahmed L. , 2020). Research indicates that this fragmentation can lead to a lack of trust in national institutions, as citizens may prioritize their regional affiliations over national unity (Mengisteab, 2016). When regional leaders prioritize clan or regional interests at the expense of national objectives, it can create divisions that hinder efforts to build a cohesive federal state (Farah A. , 2019). Moreover, the competition between regional governments for resources and influence can exacerbate tensions, further weakening national solidarity. This lack of cohesion can result in fragmented political landscapes where citizens feel alienated from national governance, leading to an increase in local grievances and potential conflicts (Shire, 2021).

Collaborative Governance

To address these challenges, collaborative governance approaches are essential. These approaches encourage cooperation among different levels of government and facilitate dialogue between regional and central

authorities (Ansell, & Gash, 2008). Collaborative governance structures can help mitigate the risks associated with competition and fragmentation by promoting shared goals and objectives among stakeholders (Emerson, & Nabatchi, & Balogh, 2012). For instance, joint planning initiatives that involve representatives from both regional and central governments can foster a sense of collective ownership over governance processes and outcomes (Venable, 2018). Additionally, participatory decision-making processes that engage citizens can enhance the legitimacy of both regional and central authorities, ensuring that diverse interests are considered (Börzel, 2010). Research has shown that collaborative governance can lead to improved service delivery and increased trust in government institutions, as stakeholders feel more invested in the outcomes (O’Leary, & Bingham, 2009). Furthermore, establishing formal mechanisms for intergovernmental collaboration, such as joint committees or task forces, can streamline communication and build consensus on key issues (Bardach, 1998).

Trust-Building Measures

Trust-building measures, such as public consultations and inclusive policy-making processes, can further enhance political trust in federal systems (Becker, 2018). Engaging citizens in decision-making not only empowers them but also fosters a sense of belonging and investment in governance (Fung, 2006). In the Somali context, where historical grievances have created deep-seated mistrust, these measures are critical for rebuilding relationships between the government and its citizens (Harsche, 2021).

Moreover, promoting transparency in government actions and decision-making processes can significantly enhance political legitimacy. When citizens have access to information about government activities

and decisions, they are more likely to perceive their leaders as accountable and responsive (Grönlund, & Hinnant, 2008). Implementing mechanisms for citizen feedback and public accountability can further strengthen the relationship between citizens and their governments (Cairns, 2016). Research has shown that transparency initiatives, such as open data platforms and participatory budgeting, can improve public trust and lead to better governance outcomes (Bertot, & Jaeger, & Grimes, 2010). Additionally, fostering a culture of accountability through regular audits and evaluations can enhance governmental credibility (Moynihan, 2008). Ultimately, these trust-building measures contribute to a more resilient federal system, capable of addressing the diverse needs of its citizenry.

Conclusion

In summary, the literature on federalism and political trust emphasizes the importance of decentralization in enhancing citizen engagement and fostering trust in government institutions. While federalism offers significant potential benefits, including improved service delivery and increased accountability, it also poses challenges related to fragmentation and competition among regional authorities. Ensuring inclusive governance practices, defining clear legal frameworks, and leveraging international support are critical for maximizing the efficacy of federalism in Somalia. By addressing these factors, Somalia can work towards building a federal system that promotes political trust and cooperation among its citizens.

References

- Abdi, A. (2017). Clan Dynamics and Politics in Somalia: The Role of Social Structures in Conflict and Governance." . *African Security Review*, , 26(2), 176-193.
- Abdirahman, & Elmi. (2022). Federalism and Institutional Development in Somalia: Pathways for Cooperation and Stability. *Journal of African Governance*, ., 15(3), 245-260.
- Accordinging to Golooba. (2013). State Building and Service Delivery in Fragile States: The Role of International Actors. *International Journal of State Building*,, 7(1), 23-45.
- Ahmed. (2020). Federalism and State-Building in Somalia: The Case of Puntland. *Journal of African Studies*,, 45(2), 125-145.
- Ahmed, L. (2020). "The Impact of Federalism on National Identity in Somalia." . *African Journal of Political Science*,, 15(1), 1-18.
- Akkari. (2019). Federalism and governance in Somalia: Challenges and opportunities. *African Studies Review*,, 62(1), 45-63.
- Akkari, A. (2019). "Political Trust in Fragile States: The Case of Somalia." *Conflict, Security & Development*, 19(3), 215-232. New York: Center on International Cooperation at New York.
- Akkari, A. (2019). Federalism and political legitimacy in Somalia. *African Studies Review*,, 62(1), 23-46.
- Akkari, B. (2019). Federalism and Governance in Somalia: Challenges and Opportunities. *Journal of African Governance*, 4(2), 45-60.
- Ali, & Mumin. (2021). Local governance and citizen engagement in Somalia. *Journal of Peacebuilding & Development*,, 16(2), 132-146.
- Anderson, L. (2017). *federalism; a comparative introduction*. oxford university press: oxford university press.
- Ansell, & Gash. (2008). "Collaborative Governance in Theory and Practice." . *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*,, 18(4), 543-571.

- Bahl, R. W.-V. (2008). The Challenges of Decentralization in Developing Countries: Lessons from the Field." . *Public Administration and Development*,, 28(1), 1-15.
- Bardach, E. (1998). *"Getting Agencies to Work Together: The Practice and Theory of Managerial Craftsmanship."* . Washington, DC: : Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- Bardhan, & Mookherjee. (2016). Political clientelism and the allocation of public goods in a federal system. *Journal of Development Economics*,, 121, 54-63.
- Becker. (2018). "Building Political Trust through Participatory Governance: Evidence from Local Councils." . *Journal of Politics and Policy*,, 26(3), 241-260.
- Becker, S. O. (2018). Decentralization and public service delivery: Evidence from Uganda. . *World Development*,, 101, 192-205.
- Bertot, &Jaeger, & Grimes. (2010). "Using ICTs to Create a Culture of Transparency: E-Government and Social Media as Openness and Trust-Building Tools for Government." . *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*,, 4(3), 228-246.
- Börzel, T. A. (2010). "Governance and the European Union: A Comparative Perspective." . *In The Routledge Handbook of European Politics*, , 45-59.
- Bradbury & Healy. (2010). Whose Peace Is It Anyway? Connecting Somali and International Peacemaking. *Conciliation Resources*.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. . (2006). "Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology." . *Qualitative Research in Psychology*,, 3(2), 77-101.
- brden, m. (2013). *somalia redux? assessing the new somali federal government*. new york: center for strategic and international studies.
- Brinkerhoff, & Wetterberg, & Dunn. (2008). Service Delivery and Legitimacy in Fragile and Conflict-Affected States: Evidence from Iraq." . *Public Management Review*,, 10(6), 747-770.
- Bryden, & Bahra. (2019). Somalia Redux? Assessing the New Somali Federal Government's Progress on State-Building. *International Crisis Group*.

- Bryden, M. (2013). *somalia redux? assessing the new somali federal government*. new york: centre for strategic and international studies.
- Burgess, M. (2019). federalism and federation:.. *political theory*, routelege.
- Cairns, D. (2016). "Engaging Citizens in the Public Sector: A Collaborative Approach.". *Canadian Public Administration*, , 59(3), 425-440.
- Call, C. T. (2008). "Building States to Build Peace: Post-Conflict Reconstruction in the Developing World." . *The Journal of Peacebuilding & Development*, , 4(1), 1-14.
- Choudhry, S. (2013). "Federalism and the Challenge of Governance in Post-Conflict States." . *The International Journal of Human Rights*, , 17(6), 1014-1031.
- Connerley, & Smoke. (2010). *Making Decentralization Work: Democracy, Development, and Security*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Cornelissen, & Le Roux. (2017). The Fragility of Federalism in Africa: The Case of Somalia.". *Comparative Politics*,, 49(4), 519-540.
- Creswell, & Poth. (2017). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches*. Sage: Sage Publications.
- Dahir, I. (2018). The challenges of federalism in post-conflict Somalia: . *A case for clarity. Horn of Africa Bulletin*, , 30(4), 19-24.
- Dahl, R. A. (2018). *On Democracy*. . Yale : Yale University Press.
- Elmi & Barise. (2020). "Decentralization and Clan-Based Federalism in Somalia: Opportunities and Challenges.",. *African Studies Review*, 63(2), 283-305.
- Emerson, & Nabatchi, & Balogh. (2012). "An Integrative Framework for Collaborative Governance.". *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*,, 22(1), 1-29.
- Erk, J. (2017). *Explaining Federalism: State, Society and Congruence in Austria, Belgium, Canada, Germany, and Switzerland*. Routledge: Routledge.
- Faguet. (2014). Decentralization and governance in Bolivia: How does local democracy work? *Journal of Development Studies*,, 50(5), 675-689.

- Faguet, J. P. (2014). *Decentralization and Governance: A Global Perspective*. In *The Oxford Handbook of Governance*. . Oxford : Oxford University Press.
- Farah. (2019). Clan Politics and Governance in Puntland: Trust and Cooperation in a Federal Framework. *Somali Journal of Political Science*,, 10(1), 56-75.
- Farah, & Hussein. (2021). Legitimacy in Federal Governance: The Case of Somalia. *Journal of African Studies*,, 15(1), 35-5.
- Farah, A. (2019). Clan Politics and the Federalism Debate in Somalia." . *Journal of Eastern African Studies*, , 13(3), 453-471.
- Fukuyama. (2013). What Is Governance?". *Governance*,, 26(3), 347-368.
- Fukuyama, F. (2018). *Trust: The Social Virtues and the Creation of Prosperity*. Free Press.
- Fung, A. (2006). *"Empowered Participation: Reinventing Urban Democracy."* . Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Gill, J., Steward, K., & Treasure, E. . (2008). "Methods of Data Collection in Qualitative Research: Interviews and Focus Groups.". In *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research*,, 353-367.
- Ginsburg. (2015). *Comparative Constitutional Design*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ginsburg, & Eliza. (2015). *"The Role of Federalism in State Building."* In *Constitutional Design for Divided Societies: Integration or Accommodation?*,. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ginsburg, T. a. (2015). *The Role of Federalism in State Building."* In *Constitutional Design for Divided Societies: Integration or Accommodation?*, edited by Sujit Choudhry, 163-186. Oxford : Oxford University Press.
- Golooba. (2013). The Role of International Actors in Promoting Local Government Accountability in Africa.". *Public Administration and Development*,, 33(4), 337-351.
- Grönlund, & Hinnant. (2008). "The Role of Information in Public Trust: An Experimental Study.". *International Journal of Public Administration*,, 31(9), 1155-1176.

- Hagmann, & Hoehne. (2009). Failures of the State Failure Debate: Evidence from the Somali Territories." *Journal of International Development*, 21(1), 42-57.
- Harsche, J. (2021). "The Role of Trust in Governance: Lessons from Somalia." . *African Journal of Political Science*, 16(1), 55-78.
- Hassan. (2020). The implications of federalism on political trust in Somalia. *African Journal of Political Science*, 14(3), 159-178.
- Hassan, & Elmi. (2021). Coordination Challenges in Federal Systems:. *The Case of Somalia. Governance Studies*, 14(1), 27-43.
- Hassan, A. ((2020).). The implications of federalism on political trust in Somalia. *African Journal of Political Science*, 14(3), 159-178.
- Hesse, & Rausch. (2003). The Role of Federalism in Governance: Theoretical Perspectives and Empirical Insights." *European Journal of Political Research*, 42(4), 529-552.
- Hueglin & Fenna. (2021). *comparative federalism: a systemetic inquiry*. tronto: university of tronto press.
- Hussein, A. (2022). Security Challenges and Responses in Puntland: A Federal Perspective. . *East African Security Review*, , 15(4), 78-99.
- Khalif, A. (2021). "International Aid and the Dynamics of Federalism in Somalia." . *African Security Review*, 30(2), 105-121.
- Kjaer, A. M. (2018). "Decentralization and Democratic Governance: A Comparison of Centralized and Decentralized Countries." . *Comparative Politics*, 50(1), 35-54.
- Levi, & Tyler. (2009). "Conceptualizing Legitimacy, Measuring Legitimizing Beliefs." *American Behavioral Scientist*, 53(3), 354-375.
- Linder. (2020). Swiss Democracy: Possible Solutions to Conflict in Multicultural Societies. *Springer*.
- Lindley, A. (2011). Displacement and the Politics of Belonging in Somalia." . *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, , 30(3), 54-75.

- López, & Ramirez. (2015). Decentralization and Governance in Latin America: Challenges and Opportunities." . *Latin American Politics and Society*,, 57(2), 1-28.
- Martinez, & McNab. (2003). "Fiscal Decentralization and Economic Growth." . *World Development*,, 31(9), 1597-1616.
- Mengisteab, K. (2016). The Politics of Federalism and Ethnic Nationalism in Africa: A Comparative Study." . *African Affairs*, , 115(459), 547-570.
- Menkhaus. (2020). "The Crisis in Somalia: Reflections on Federalism and Local Governance." . *African Affairs*,, 119(475), 615-634.
- Menkhaus, A. t. (2014). The crisis in Somalia: Tragedy in five acts. *African Security*,, 7(2), 112-127.
- Moynihan. (2008). The Dynamics of Performance Management: The Role of Organizational Culture." . *Public Administration Review*,, 68(4), 844-857.
- O'Leary, & Bingham. (2009). *The Collaborative Public Manager: New Ideas for the Twenty-First Century*." . Washington, DC:: Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Osman, A. (2019). Regional Governance and Political Legitimacy in Somalia: Challenges and Opportunities. . *African Governance Review*, , 6(3), 101-12.
- Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. . (2015). *Purposeful Sampling for Qualitative Data Collection and Analysis in Mixed Method Implementation Research*." . Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Menta.
- Pham, P. J. (2013). "Somalia: Fixing Africa's Most Failed State." . *World Affairs*, , 176(2), 67-74.
- Riker, W. H. (1964). . *Federalism: Origin, Operation, Significance*. . Boston:: Boston: Little, Brown.
- Ritchie., Nicholls., & Ormston. ((2013).). *Qualitative Research Practice: A Guide for Social Science Students and Researchers*. . Sage Publications.
- Rodden. (2019). *The Dilemmas of Federalism: Political Competition and Citizen Engagement*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Rodden, J. (2019). *Hamilton's Paradox: The Promise and Peril of Fiscal Federalism*. Cambridge : Cambridge University Press.
- Schedler, A. (1999). Conceptualizing Accountability.". *The Journal of Democracy*,, 10(3), 25-38.
- Shire, A. (2021). "Federalism, Identity, and Governance in Somalia: Navigating Challenges to Unity.". *Journal of Peacebuilding & Development*, , 16(2), 123-138.
- Smith, J. (2015). Decentralization and Political Trust: The Case of Federal Systems. . *Public Administration Review*,, 75(2), 215-225.
- Smoke, P. (2015). "The Role of Local Government in Sustainable Development." . *Local Government Studies*, , 41(4), 565-582.
- Tyler. (2006). *Why People Obey the Law*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Tyler, T. R. (2006). *Why People Obey the Law*. . Princeton : Princeton University Press.
- Venable, D. (2018). Citizen engagement in local governance: A comparative study. . *International Journal of Public Administration*,, 41(4), 289-303.
- Warsame. (2021). Negotiating Federalism: Puntland's Role in Somali Federal Politics. *Journal of Peace and Conflict Studies*,, 8(3), 201-220.
- Wittek, & Saeed. (2017). Federalism in Somalia: The prospects and challenges of decentralized governance. *Somalia Research Institute Journal*,, 5(2), 91-110.
- Wittek, & Dyer. (2020). "Building Political Legitimacy in Somalia: A Focus on Clan and Governance.". *Conflict, Security & Development*,, 20(3), 213-234.
- Wunsch, J. S. (2014). Decentralization, Local Governance and 'Recentralization' in Africa." . *Public Administration and Development*,, 21(3), 277-288.
- Zartman, I. W. (1995). *Introduction: Posing the Problem of State Collapse.*" In *Collapsing States: Between Order and Anarchy*, . Boulder:: Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers.